

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 \* \* \*

6 JUANITA WALTER,

Case No. 2:25-cv-00796-MMD-NJK

7 Plaintiff,

ORDER

8 v.

9 SEDGWICK CMS INC., *et al.*,

10 Defendants.

11 Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of United States  
12 Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe (ECF No. 6), recommending the Court dismiss this  
13 case without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction after previously giving Plaintiff  
14 Juanita Walter an opportunity to show cause as to jurisdiction. To date, no objections to  
15 the R&R have been filed. Because there is no objection, and as further explained below,  
16 the Court will adopt the R&R in full and dismiss this case without prejudice.

17 Because there is no objection, the Court need not conduct de novo review, and is  
18 satisfied that Judge Koppe did not clearly err. *See United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328  
19 F.3d 1114, 1116 (9th Cir. 2003) (“De novo review of the magistrate judges’ findings and  
20 recommendations is required if, but *only* if, one or both parties file objections to the  
21 findings and recommendations.” (emphasis in original)). Judge Koppe found Plaintiff has  
22 not demonstrated federal question jurisdiction because the complaint appears to assert  
23 state law claims (see ECF No. 2-1 at 4). (ECF No. 6 at 1.) Plaintiff has also failed to  
24 demonstrate diversity jurisdiction because the complaint alleges the parties are citizens  
25 of Nevada and Plaintiff fails to show the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. (ECF  
26 No. 2-1 at 3.) Judge Koppe accordingly recommends dismissal of this case without  
27 prejudice to refiling in an appropriate state court. (ECF No. 6 at 2.) Having reviewed the  
28 R&R, Judge Koppe did not clearly err.

1 It is therefore ordered that Judge Koppe's Report and Recommendation (ECF No.  
2 6) is accepted and adopted in full.

3 It is further ordered that this case is dismissed, in its entirety, without prejudice.

4 It is further ordered that Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF  
5 No. 2) is denied as moot.

6 The Clerk of Court is further directed to enter judgment accordingly and close this  
7 case.

8 DATED THIS 30<sup>th</sup> Day of June 2025.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Miranda M. Du', is written over a horizontal line.

MIRANDA M. DU  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE